



MANUSCRIPT REVIEWING FORM



Global Academy of Training and Research (GATR) Enterprise acknowledges contribution of reviewers by giving incentive under terms mentioned below, in addition to publishing their names in GATR Journals.

2 weeks - RM200

3 weeks - RM150

4 weeks - RM100

>4 weeks, NIL

GATR Journals Reviewing Form

(Please, Consider This Information as Confidential)

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Review Cycle: | First | Second |
| Classification of Paper: | Regular Article | Review Other(<i>specify</i>) |

Part I. Reviewer Basic Details

| | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Full Name | Date Sent to Reviewer | <i>dd/mm/yyyy</i> |
| Title/Position | Date Recived by reviewer | <i>dd/mm/yyyy</i> |
| Specialization | Date received by Editor | <i>dd/mm/yyyy</i> |

Manuscript ID

Manuscript Title

Part II. Manuscript Rating *(Please, rate the article by clicking buttons: 1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very good, 5 = Excellent, W = Weight)*

| No. | Criteria | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | W | Total |
|-----|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 1 | Does the title reflect central research question and underlying propositions? | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Is the purpose of the research clearly stated in the abstract and introduction? | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Is the significance of the research well explained at the outset? | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Does the paper make a clear contribution to the area of research? | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Is the review of previous literature adequate to justify hypothesis? | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Has a sound theoretical foundation been established? | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Is the material used in the paper original or used differently? | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Is there a logical flow of argument? | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Is the paper well presented? | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Are the conclusions relevant to the purpose of the research and derived from the material presented in the paper? | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Does the paper include appropriate references and citations? | | | | | | | | |

OVERALL RATE

Part III. Additional Comments by Reviewer

The reviewer is expected to provide comment on major strength and weakness of the article design and methodology and provide useful suggestion to the authors. The review should provide justifiable context for the editor in decision making process on acceptance or revision or rejection of the manuscript.

Please, write your comment in the below box. The section will be given to author with anonymous

Part IV. Reviewer's Recommendations to the Journal's Managing Editor

The manuscript should be free from plagiarism, falsification or any fabrications. The reviewer should identify on any ethical concerns raised by the study, or any evidence of low standard of scientific evidence. *Please, provide the comments in the below box, if any. This section will Not be revealed to the authors(s).*

Part V. Recommendation

(Based on the overall rate, please choose one of the below)

OPTIONS

ACCEPT AS IS

– with minor changes that will be done by editorial staff.

(60-66)

ACCEPT WITH MINOR CHANGES

– minor changes that author needs to address.

(48-59)

RETURN TO AUTHOR(S) FOR IMPORTANT MODIFICATIONS

– revision and resubmission to other review process required.

(41-47)

REJECT

(15-40)

ACCEPT AS IS: The manuscript warrants publication as a peer-reviewed article. It is a solid contribution and is well conceived & executed.

ACCEPT WITH MINOR REVISIONS: The manuscript should be accepted after minor revisions as noted in the comments. N.B: Reviewers' comments should be sufficiently specific and detailed for the author to address issues of concern.

RETURN TO AUTHOR(S) FOR IMPORTANT MODIFICATIONS: The manuscript does not warrant publication in its current form, but it will warrant publication as a peer-reviewed article with suggested revisions.

REJECT: The manuscript does not warrant publication as a peer-reviewed article.

Part VI. Contact Details and Signature of Reviewer

(Please, fill the boxes mentioned below)

Mailing Address

Email

Telephone Number

Phone Number

Signature

Date

PLEASE RETURN THE REVIEW FORM TOGETHER WITH MANUSCRIPT, TO:

The Managing Editor (GATR Journals)

Suite 15, Taman Bukit Angkasa, Jalan Pantai Dalam, 59200, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

E-mail: arahim@gatrenterprise.com | Tel: +603 2117 5006,

<http://gatrenterprise.com/GATRJournals/index.html>

GATR JOURNALS APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS AND SUPPORT